

Recap:

① Convergence concepts for a sequence of random variables.

(i) Convergence in prob.

X_1, \dots converges in prob. to X , denoted by $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0$$

(ii) Convergence in distribution:

X_1, \dots converges in dist. to X , denoted by $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x), \text{ for all continuity points } x \text{ of } F_X.$$

F_{X_n} = CDF of X_n

F_X = CDF of X .

(iii) Convergence in prob. \Rightarrow Convergence in dist.
 $\not\Leftarrow$ in general.

②

Slutsky's theorem:

If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} a$ (a is just a number),
then a) $Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$ b) $Y_n + X_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$

Weak law of large numbers

If X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables
with $E[X_i] = \mu$, $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ then,

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mu$$

Example: Toss a coin repeatedly.

$X_i = 1$ if the i th toss results in head
 $= 0$ o.w.

$$X_i \sim \text{Ber}(\mu) \quad E[X_i] = \mu \quad \text{Var}(X_i) = \mu(1-\mu) < \infty$$

By weak law of large numbers $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mu$

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i =$ average number of heads in n ~~trials~~ tosses.

Central limit theorem:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of random variables which are i.i.d. and whose MGF is bounded near 0. Let $E[X_i] = \mu$, $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 > 0$.

Define $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, Let $Z_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ ~~and~~

then $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

If we have a sequence of random variables X_1, \dots s.t. $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

or equivalently, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$

then, is it possible to find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu))$

where g is any function!

Delta theorem:

Let Y_n be a sequence of random variables that satisfies $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$. For a given function g , ~~and~~ suppose $g'(\theta)$ exists and is not 0. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 [g'(\theta)]^2)$$

If $g'(\theta) = 0$ and $g''(\theta)$ exists and is nonzero, then $\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \frac{g''(\theta)}{2} \chi_1^2$

Intuition: $g(x) \approx g(\theta) + (x - \theta)g'(\theta)$

$$g(Y_n) \approx g(\theta) + (Y_n - \theta)g'(\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \approx \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta)}_{\sim N(0, \sigma^2)} g'(\theta)$$

$\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ for large n approximately

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \sim N(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2)$ for large n approximately.

Example: X_1, \dots are i.i.d Ber(μ)

$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mu(1-\mu))$ by CLT.

Qn: Find the approximate dist. of $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n^r - \mu^r)$

$$g(x) = x^r \quad g'(x) = 2x, \quad g'(\mu) = 2\mu \neq 0$$

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mu(1-\mu) [g'(\mu)]^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n^r - \mu^r) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mu(1-\mu) (2\mu)^2)$$

Example: X_1, \dots are i.i.d Ber(~~1~~ $\frac{1}{2}$)

Qn: Find dist. of $\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n)$

~~$g(x) = x$~~ I know, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \frac{1}{2}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{1}{4})$

$$g(x) = x(1-x)$$

$$\Rightarrow g'(x) = 1-2x \quad \Rightarrow g'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \quad \text{at } x = \frac{1}{2}$$

however, $g''(x) = -2$

$$\text{hence, } \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1-\frac{1}{2})) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(-2)^2}{2} \chi_1^2$$

Statistical Inference

X_1, \dots, X_n in a random sample.

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} f(x|\theta)$.

Goal: To estimate θ .

provide a point estimate of θ .

We reduce the data in our real life applications, i.e. we start with (x_1, \dots, x_n) a n -variate data vector and we end up using $T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ which is a smaller variate function.

For example

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \text{ OR } T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2$$

Qn. If $X \rightarrow x_n$

Qn: $\underline{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim F(\underline{x}|\theta)$, $T(\underline{x})$ is a function of \underline{X} . How much reduction of \underline{X} is allowable without losing any "information" on θ ?

Sufficiency principle:

Definition 1: Let $\underline{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\underline{X} \sim F(\underline{x}|\theta)$. $T(\underline{x})$ is known to be a sufficient statistic for θ if the conditional distribution of $\underline{X} | T(\underline{x})$ is independent of θ .

Intuitively, $T(\underline{x})$ contains the same information about θ that \underline{X} contains.

Example: $X_1, X_2, X_3 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(p)$.

$$P(X_i = x) = p^x (1-p)^{1-x}, \text{ if } x=0,1.$$

$$T(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$$

Let's look at the distribution of $(X_1, X_2, X_3) | X_1 + X_2 + X_3$.

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3 | X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } t \neq x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

when $t = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3, X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3)}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1) P(X_2 = x_2) P(X_3 = x_3)}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{p^{x_1} (1-p)^{1-x_1} p^{x_2} (1-p)^{1-x_2} p^{x_3} (1-p)^{1-x_3}}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{p^{x_1+x_2+x_3} (1-p)^{3-x_1-x_2-x_3}}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{p^{x_1+x_2+x_3} (1-p)^{3-(x_1+x_2+x_3)}}{P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t)}$$

$$= \frac{p^{x_1+x_2+x_3} (1-p)^{3-(x_1+x_2+x_3)}}{\binom{3}{t} p^t (1-p)^{3-t}}$$

$$= \frac{p^t (1-p)^{3-t}}{\binom{3}{t} p^t (1-p)^{3-t}} = \frac{1}{\binom{3}{t}}$$

Thus $(X_1, X_2, X_3) \mid X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ is independent of p .

Hence by definition of sufficient statistic

$X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ is a sufficient statistic for p .

$X_1, X_2, X_3 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(p)$

	cases	prob.
$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 0 \rightarrow$	000	$(1-p)^3$
$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 1 \rightarrow$	001	$(1-p)^2 p$
	100	$p(1-p)^2$
	010	$p(1-p)^2$
$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 2 \rightarrow$	110	$p^2(1-p)$
	011	$p^2(1-p)$
	101	$p^2(1-p)$
$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 3 \rightarrow$	111	p^3

Therefore, $\Omega = \{000, 001, 100, 010, 110, 011, 101, 111\}$

$$= \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{000\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \{001, 100, 010\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{110, 011, 101\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \{111\}$$

within \mathcal{A}_t , $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = t$.

and within each \mathcal{A}_t for any sample point the prob. is $p^t (1-p)^{3-t}$

Goal: Find sufficient statistic from any situation where joint density / p.m.f. of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is known.

Theorem (Factorization theorem):

Let \underline{X} have joint p.d.f. (or p.m.f.) $f_{\theta}(\underline{x})$, where θ is the unknown parameter. A

statistic $T(\underline{x})$ is sufficient for θ if and only if $f_{\theta}(\underline{x})$ can be expressed as

$f_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x})$, where $h(\underline{x})$ is a function of \underline{x} independent of θ .

proof: only if part:

$$P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}) = \sum_t P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{X}) = t) P(T(\underline{X}) = t)$$

⊙ there is only one t for which the $P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{X}) = t)$ is positive.

$$P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}) = \underbrace{P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{X}) = t)}_{\text{independent of } \theta} P(T(\underline{X}) = t)$$
$$= h(\underline{x}) g(T(\underline{x}), \theta)$$

If $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \Rightarrow X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

if part:

in the if part we assume

$f_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x})$ and we have to show that $\underline{X} | T(\underline{X})$ is independent of θ .

$$P(T(\underline{X}) = t) = \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} f_{\theta}(\underline{x})$$

where $\mathcal{K}_t = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = t \}$

$$= \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} g(t, \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

$$= g(t, \theta) \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} h(\underline{x})$$

$$P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{X}) = t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq T(\underline{x}) \\ \frac{P(\underline{X} = \underline{x})}{P(T(\underline{X}) = t)} & \text{if } t = T(\underline{x}) \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{P(\underline{X} = \underline{x})}{P(T(\underline{X}) = t)} = \frac{g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x})}{g(t, \theta) \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} h(\underline{x})} = \frac{\cancel{g(t, \theta)} h(\underline{x})}{\cancel{g(t, \theta)} \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{K}_t} h(\underline{x})}$$

free of θ .